Brachytherapy for Prostate Cancer



Who should be thinking about this and why...



Juanita Crook Professor Radiation Oncology University of Toronto Princess Margaret Hospital

Many options

- "watchful waiting" ?
- Surgery?
- Radiation?
- Seeds?
- \cdot Cryotherapy ?
- Hormones?
- HIFU ?
- change my diet?



This evening's program

- Risk groups:
- Long term (10-15 year) results for brachy
- Selection factors for brachytherapy
- Quality of life post brachytherapy
- \cdot How we do it
- · FAQ' s….

Prostate cancer risk groups

 "Risk groups" not only tell us how we can expect a patient to do (good tumor vs bad tumor) but also what tests need to be done to complete his staging, and also how to approach his treatment

Risk groups for prostate cancer

- Low risk (favorable)
 - T1c/T2a AND Gleason \leq 6 AND PSA \leq 10
 - metastatic work up not usually performed
- Intermediate risk
 - T2b OR Gleason 7 OR PSA 10-20
- High risk
 - T3 OR Gleason 8-10 OR PSA > 20

Fox Chase Cancer Center Dose Response By Risk Group



Importance of dose Pollack et al. IJROBP 2002



RT Dose Escalation

- *favorable risk:* Brachytherapy (145 Gy)
- intermediate risk:
 - Combined EBRT and brachy (45 + 110 Gy)
 - -high dose EBRT (IMRT) (78 Gy)
 - EBRT + HDR (45 + 3 x 8 Gy)
- high risk
 - IMRT (78 Gy)
 - IMRT + BT (45-50 + 110 Gy)





Favorable: brachytherapy

2



Intermediate: external or Combined RT + BT

High risk: LN + prostate

Characteristics of I^{125}/Pd^{103}

- Low energy
 - **-**21-28 KeV
 - Limited tissue penetration
- Low dose rate, continuous
 - I¹²⁵: 10 cGy/hour (60 day $\frac{1}{2}$ life)
 - Pd¹⁰³: 21 cGy/hour (17 day ½ life)

Why Dose Rate Doesn't Matter for Seeds



The very high radiation dose and rapid fall-off overwhelms dose rate effects

Evidence that seed Brachytherapy delivers the highest dose

- Pickett B. et al.³: EBRT vs. Seeds
 - MRSI data
 - EBRT: 20% complete metabolic atrophy
 - Seeds: 86% complete metabolic atrophy
 - Median PSA
 - EBRT: 0.9 ng/ml
 - Seeds: 0.2 ng/ml

Pickett B. et al. ASTRO, 2004

Long term outcomes

- 12 year results: Potters et al J Urol 2005
 - n= 481 low risk
 - 12 yr bNED 91% (ASTRO)
 - -21% NHT, 2% external + BT
 - addition of NHT or RT no difference
 - -mean D90: 102%
 - D90 independent predictor of outcome p<0.0001

ASTRO bNED Potters et al, J Urol 2005



Long term outcomes Grimm et al IJROBP 2001

- 10 year results: Iodine 125 brachy
- n= 125 (1988-1990) mainly low risk
- \cdot 81% PSA < 0.2 ng/m1
- LF 3%, DF 3%
- all failures diagnosed within 8 years

PSA progression free Grimm et al IJROBP 2001



¹²⁵I brachytherapy 15 Year PSA Results

Longterm I125 Monotherapy Outcomes

Biochemical Relapse Free Survival (n=122)



Long term outcomes Merrick et al IJROBP 2005

- n= 668 1995–2001
- median follow up 5 years
- low risk 8 year bNED: 98%
- \cdot median PSA < 0.1 ng/ml
- addition of NHT or external RT no difference

progression-free rate Merrick et al IJROBP 2005



Brachy vs. IMRT Zelefsky et al IJROBP 2007

- \cdot 7 year results for 1126 LR and IR
- BT: 421, IMRT:705
- bNED LR @ 7 years
 - -98% BT vs. 88% IMRT p<0.001
- NHT p=0.5
- · late GI grade 2: 6% vs. 2% (no ∆ gr 3)
- · late GU grade 2: 18% vs. 7% (no Δ gr 3)

nadir + 2 bNED for LR and IR Zelefsky et al IJROBP 2007



What about for young men? Merrick BJU Int 2006

- n=108, age ≤ 54 years, 1995-2002
- median follow up 5.3 years
- 8 year bNED for LR 96% (PSA < 0.4 ng/ml)
- \cdot median PSA for NED 0.05 ng/ml
- no NHT

$PSA \leq 0.4 \text{ ng/ml}$ Merrick BJU Int 2006



Quality of life post implant



Urinary outcome

- mild to moderate symptoms expected for 3-6 months
- \cdot Quality of life normal by 3 mo
- Symptom score back to baseline by 12 months
- Medication (alpha blockers) may speed recovery
- avoid TURP in first 6 months

Quality of life post implant

- \cdot Catheter rate 15%
 - -10% > 1 week
 - -5% > 1 month
- 1% urethral stricture
- \cdot Median IPS score @ baseline 7/35
 - -@ 30 mo: 6 and @ 60 mo: 5
- Mild proctitis: 3%, moderate (grade 2):
 0.2%

IPSS: x/35

- Subjective feeling of being "empty"
- \cdot Frequency of < 2 hour intervals
- Interrupted stream
- \cdot Having to push or strain to start
- Weak stream
- Difficulty postponing urination
- # of times up at night

IPS score resolution over time



IPS Score

Months after brachytherapy

Sexual function post brachy

- 85% of men treated at PMH potent pre brachytherapy (16% with pills)
- Ejaculate is reduced (70%) or even absent (20%)
- Medication in the PDE-5 class very helpful to preserve erections (may be used prophylactically)
 - -Sildenafil (Viagra)
 - Tadalafil (Cialis)
 - Vardenafil (Levitra)

Potency Rates Following Seed Brachytherapy

Series	# Patients	Time Point	Incidence
Sharkey	1048	5 years	85%
Stone	416	2 years	78%
Merrick	209	6 years	92%
PMH	254	3 years	85%

IIEF-5: erectile function survey

	Score						
Over the past six months:	1	2	3	4	5		
How do you rate your confidence that you could get and keep an erection?	Very low	Low	Moderate	High	Very high		
When you had erections with sexual stimulation, how often were your erections hard enough for penetration?	Almost never or never	Much less than half the time	About half the time	Much more than half the time	Almost always or always		
During sexual intercourse, how often were you able to maintain your erection after you had penetrated (entered) your partner?	Almost never or never	Much less than half the time	About half the time	Much more than half the time	Almost always or always		
During sexual intercourse how difficult was it to maintain your erection to the completion of intercourse?	Extremely difficult	Very difficult	Difficult	Slightly difficult	Not difficult		
When you attempted sexual intercourse, how often was it satisfactory for you?	Almost never or never	Much less than half the time	About half the time	Much more than half the time	Almost always or always		
The IIEF-5 score is the sum of questions 1 to 5. The lowest score is 5 and the highest score 25.							

Results: self reported IIEF

- \cdot 101 completed on-line questionnaire
- Median age: 65 years
- Interval since BT: 23 mo (3-63)
- \cdot Median IIEF score 20/25
- PDE-5 use:
 - Sometimes: 25%
 - Always: 30%
 - Never: 46%

On-line survey: IIEF

- No deterioration in score over time: equivalent scores for men in their first year and beyond 3 years
- More men taking PDE-5' s (Viagra, Levitra, Cialis) with longer followup (beyond 3 years 64%)

What are my chances…

- Important predictors of maintaining potency
 - -Smoking $\downarrow \downarrow$
 - -High blood pressure 🗸
 - Diabetes $\downarrow \downarrow$
 - -Good baseline function $\uparrow\uparrow$
- age @ implant 50-59: 92% potent

60-69: 64%, 70-79: 58%

Cesaretti et al BJU Int 2007

Quality of Life Summary

- Brachytherapy:
 - Less incontinence and impotence than surgery
 - Less bowel injury and impotence than external beam
 - More irritative urinary symptoms than surgery or external beam

Practical Advantages of LDR Brachytherapy

- \cdot Patient viewpoint
 - Eliminate hospitalization
 - One day procedure
 - Rapid return to normal activities
- Technical advantages
 - Eliminates issue of daily prostate motion
 - Efficient use of physician time
 - (1-2 hour procedure)
Selection factors

• How do we decide if someone is suitable for brachytherapy?

Ontario evidence-based guideline for BT (2001)

- T1c/T2a
- \cdot Gleason \leq 6
- \cdot PSA < 10 ng/ml
- no TURP
- \cdot Prostate volume < 50 cc

Walsh: 75% of newly diagnosed PCa in US nonpalpable (T1c) (NEJM 2002)

My Criteria for brachytherapy

- Stage T1c/T2a, Gleason \leq 6, PSA < 10
- \cdot Prostate size < 60 cc
- "adequate" voiding function
 - IPSS
 - Voiding study
- Preferably no prior TURP

Voiding study



Post void residual 18 cc

How we do it





Measuring needle penetration





Needle tip at C4



confirmation of needle position at base























Post implant dosimetry

- must document the actual dose that the prostate and adjacent tissues receive
- correlate rectal/urethral doses with side effects
- correlate results with the quality of the implant

MRI-CT fusion







Selected FAQ' s

- Why is prostate size important and how big is too big?
- What if I' ve had a TURP? Is it still possible to have brachytherapy?
- What is seed migration? Where do they go and when?
- Am I radioactive? What is the risk for my (grand)children?
- What is a PSA bounce? What can I expect my PSA to be after brachytherapy?

FAQ #1: Prostate size

- No absolute cut-off for size
- Depends on relationship to pubic arch
- In a small-boned, slim-hipped man, may get PAI @ 40-45 cc, while in large-boned tall individual 65 cc OK
- Hip-position and TRUS probe-angle important



Volume study geometry

Pubic arch interference





Prostates > 60 cc

- \cdot technically difficult b/o PAI
- require larger number of seeds & needles (cost 1 & 1 risk urinary morbidity)
- may become good candidate after hormone therapy (3-6 months) to shrink the prostate
- TAB X 3 mo \rightarrow 46% volume \downarrow mono LHRH \rightarrow 22% volume \downarrow



Risk of catheter according to hormone use & prostate volume

FAQ #2: Prior TURP

- \cdot large TURP defect \rightarrow seed loss and poor dosimetry
- possible ↑ risk of urethral necrosis, stricture, urinary incontinence
- exclude those with large or poorly healed TURP defect
- small TURP OK with peripheral loading
- \cdot allow minimum 3 months for healing

Previous TURP

- Depends on amount of tissue removed and how long ago
- Earlier reports suggested an ↑ risk of incontinence after brachytherapy
- Recent literature reports

 equivalent urinary QOL to non TURP
 patients Merrick et al IJROBP 2004








FAQ #3: Seed "migration"

- Refers to a seed traveling through the blood stream to the lungs
- Occurs in 10-20% of men following brachytherapy using loose seeds (3% if stranded seeds)
- \cdot Usually only 1 seed, rarely ≥ 2
- Passing a seed in the urine or in the ejaculate is not considered "migration"





Dorsal vein



"Rapid Strand"

FAQ #4: Radiation Safety

- Iodine 125 has a half life of 2 months
- In the first 2 months half the dose is delivered to your prostate
- In these first 2 months we recommend to keep a 6' (2 m) distance from babies, pregnant women and small children
- No risk to non-pregnant adults in work or home environment

Radiation safety

- 44 men given dosimeters for self and household for 6 months following implant
 - Calculated lifetime dose to spouse 0.1 mSv
 - 94% of room monitors showed no exposure *Michalski et al IJROBP 2003*
- \cdot Av exposure @ 30,000' is 3-4 μ Sv/hr or 0.05 mSv for 2-way trans-Atlantic flight

Radiation Safety

- Dose rate measurements @ skin surface and at 30 cm (n=636 pts) indicate that lifetime dose @ 30 cm distance is < 5 mSv limit
- 19 days of direct skin contact required to reach 5 mSv limit Dauer et al Brachytherapy 2004

Recommendations

- Still advise 2m distance from babies, pregnant women and small children for 2 mo
- If frequent contact with toddlers, recommend use of lead-lined "underwear" (available on loan)
- Avoid sleeping in "spoon position" for first 2 months

FAQ #5: What should my PSA be after brachytherapy?

- Program @ PMH began March 1, 1999
- \cdot 985 implants performed
- Median age 65 (45-83)
- 2/3 T1c, 1/3 T2a
- Gleason 6: 92%
- \cdot Follow up > 24 months in 724

Median PSA after brachytherapy



PSA results @ PMH

• Median PSA @ 30 mo: 0.30 ng/ml

36 mo: 0.18 ng/ml 48 mo: 0.06 ng/ml 60 mo: <0.05 ng/ml

13 men have had a recurrence:
4 distant (bone 2, 1ymph nodes 2)

5 recurrences in prostate (0.5%)
4 rising PSA (beyond 36 mo)

Actuarial DFS: 95.3% @ 5 yrs



FAQ #6: What is a PSA bounce?

- 35-40% of men will experience a PSA bounce after brachytherapy
- Consists of 1 or more increases in the PSA reading which then spontaneously decreases again without other treatment or intervention

PSA bounces

- most start @12-24 mo (6-30)
- \cdot Average duration 8 mo
- \cdot 15% will \uparrow > 2 ng/ml
- \cdot 78% resolved by 36 mo
- Tend to occur in younger men who are sexually active
- Double bounces can occur

3 sample bounces



Permanent seed implants: Conclusions

- Highly effective treatment for early stage prostate cancer
- \cdot PSA unreliable in first 3 years
- \cdot > 15 years experience demonstrates excellent, durable results
- Proper technique on appropriately selected patients yields very low morbidity

Permanent seed implants: Conclusions

- \cdot More irritative urinary symptoms
- Longer period of uncertainty of outcome b/o PSA bounce etc
- Surgical result quicker in terms of PSA/pathology report



So, who are you going to call?